

Australian Alert Service - feature articles - strategic

Sleepwalking into nuclear World War III?

14 Jan. 2022—In an eight-page strategic package, the *Australian Alert Service* of 12 January 2022 presents the latest events and essential background on the showdown between NATO and Russia that sharply escalated at the end of 2021.

- “Anglo-American war hawks push showdown with Russia” reports on a promising, but stark joint statement issued 2 January by the five permanent members of the UN Security Council (P5), all of them nuclear-weapons powers: “We affirm that a nuclear war cannot be won and must never be fought”. The P5 statement is welcome in the context of a blistering surge of military-strategic tensions. We show that, contrary to US State Department and major media insistence that the issue is Russian plans to “invade Ukraine”, the underlying situation is that Russian officials believe the “red line” President Vladimir Putin has talked about has been reached, with the approach of “threatening weapons systems” to Russia’s borders. On 15 December Moscow offered the USA and NATO draft written agreements for mutual security guarantees, which were to be at the centre of diplomatic meetings on 10–13 January.
- The background article “Sleepwalking into nuclear World War III, 1990-2021” reviews the broken promises and step-by-step movement towards a global showdown, ever since the first Bush Administration in 1990 pledged to Soviet leaders that NATO would not expand eastward after German reunification. We review how Ukraine is far from being a fledgling democracy just minding its own business while under threat from Russia. Also included is a bibliography, with links, of AAS articles on the crisis in Europe and around the Black Sea as it unfolded in 2021.
- The first Washington Insider column this year is titled, “Biden is not in lock-step with war party”.

[Click here to download the package.](#)

Additional reading:

AAS articles from 2018-2022, showing why NATO’s eastward expansion and activities led Russian officials to assess that the national security “red line” President Vladimir Putin talks about has been reached.

- 7 March 2018 - [Russian President delivers reality shock](#)
- 14 April 2021 - [Escalation in Ukraine threatens East-West conflagration](#)
- 5 May 2021 - [Russia’s ‘red lines’: Don’t dismiss as bluff or bluster](#)
- 28 July 2021 - [UK-led Black Sea provocations: ‘Global Britain’ in action](#)
- 10 November 2021 - [US and Ukrainian military actions raise Black Sea tension](#)
- 8 December 2021 - [Eurasian powers act against headlong war agenda](#)
- 9 February 2022 - [China-Russia diplomacy at Olympics—a ‘tectonic shift’](#)
- 23 February 2022 - [Ukraine on the knife’s edge of world war](#)

AUKUS accelerates rush towards world war

The 22 September 2021 *Australian Alert Service* warns that the world is hurtling towards World War III, and Australia under Prime Minister Scott Morrison’s direction is hastening that disaster. The AUKUS military cooperation agreement between Australia, the UK and the USA locks Australia into the ambitions of “war-hawk” neoconservatives and liberal interventionists in all three countries, intent on surrounding China with a string of military alliances in the Pacific region.

The AAS features four articles, including a unique analysis contributed by a long-time China hand, Australia’s former Deputy Ambassador to China John Lander. They are:

- “War and economic breakdown: How did we get here?” The lead editorial situates the current war drive in historical events since the American Revolution, highlighting the geopolitical interventions of Anglo-American imperial factions that have brought the world to this point;
- “China: Australia squandering 40 years of goodwill”, by John Lander, describes the sabotage of the collaborative spirit that quite recently characterised Australia-China relations, and cites a 1971 cabinet document insisting that especially on such important matters Australia must “formulate independent policies, based on Australian national interests”;
- The basics of the AUKUS agreement, including the deal for Australia to acquire nuclear-powered submarines, are documented in Richard Bardon’s article, “AUSMIN/AUKUS make Australia [a] staging point for WWII”. We report warnings from former Prime Ministers Paul Keating and Kevin Rudd and leading strategic analyst Hugh White;
- Our Washington Insider column provides a perspective from the USA under the headline “Did ‘Operation Hookless’ hook Biden?” It considers moves, in addition to AUKUS, towards greater integration of Australia into the American military-industrial complex; outrage on the part of “permanent warfare” advocates at President Biden’s evident seriousness about ending overseas deployments like the 20-year Afghanistan engagement and possibly edging in the direction of dialogue with China; and the interplay of “Global Britain” ambitions from within the UK, where the head of Chatham House gloats that through AUKUS the UK can—without inordinate spending

of its own—exploit American and Australian forces to place itself front and centre of what happens in the Indo-Pacific.

[Click here to download the PDF.](#)

Special Report on Xinjiang: Anglo-Americans sponsor ‘East Turkistan’ campaigns

An eight-article series from the *Australian Alert Service* in November 2020–March 2021, “Xinjiang: China’s western frontier in the heart of Eurasia”, is now assembled as a 40-page Special Report.

[Download it here.](#)

The China Narrative (series)

Clive Hamilton’s books exposing China “interference”, Silent Invasion and Hidden Hand, are important, not because of the quality of the content—paranoid propaganda—but because the influencers behind Hamilton’s crusade reveal his role as a cog in a vast narrative-management machine. The public, as well as MPs and other government officials, are being directed how to think about China by a small group of ideologically driven propagandists, funded by institutions of the section of the Anglo-American power establishment that seeks war without end, even risking nuclear warfare that would annihilate mankind.

[Download the full series in one PDF.](#)
[Read the html version.](#)

The Christchurch massacre: British imperial ‘population control’

AAS articles from 2019

Following the horrific attacks at the Al Noor and Linwood Islamic Centre mosques in Christchurch, New Zealand on 15 March, in which 50 people were killed and another 50 wounded, New Zealand’s police arrested a fanatical, self-proclaimed white supremacist ethno-nationalist and eco-fascist, Brenton Tarrant, as the perpetrator. Parallels with earlier mass shooters and other killers were immediately obvious: like the American Unabomber Theodore Kaczynski, who killed and maimed scientists and industrialists in 1978-95, Tarrant authored a radical environmentalist tract; like the murderer of 77 in Norway (2011) Anders Breivik, he espouses race hatred and admires fascists; like the killers of nine people at an African-American church in South Carolina (2015) and 11 at a Pittsburgh synagogue (2018), he targeted people for their religion and ethnicity; like school shooters in Europe and the USA, he killed children. ...

STOP M15/M16-RUN TERRORISM

The Christchurch massacre: British imperial 'population control'

By Alvin Douglas and Richard Barkon

Following the horrific attacks at the Al Noor and Linwood Islamic Centre mosques in Christchurch, New Zealand on 15 March, in which 50 people were killed and another 50 wounded, New Zealand's police arrested a fanatical, self-proclaimed white supremacist ethno-nationalist and eco-fascist, Brenton Tarrant, as the perpetrator. Parallels with earlier mass shooters and other killers were immediately obvious: like the American Unabomber Theodore Kaczynski, who killed and maimed scientists and industrialists in 1978-95, Tarrant authored a radical environmentalist tract; like the murderer of 77 in Norway (2011) Anders Breivik, he espouses race hatred and admires fascists; like the killers of nine people at an African-American church in South Carolina (2015) and 11 at a Pittsburgh synagogue (2018), he targeted people for their religion and ethnicity; like school shooters in Europe and the USA, he killed children. ...

But the mass killer who almost immediately emerged as the clearest precedent for Tarrant's actions is the fellow Australian Martin Bryant, who killed 35 people and seriously injured 19 more on 28 April 1996 in a day-long attack in Port Arthur, Tasmania. It was reported that members of Tarrant's chapter at Christchurch, NZ gun club, where he trained for committing slaughter, had openly lauded Bryant as their role model in the months before the Christchurch attacks. Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern vowed to enact sweeping gun control laws even more nearly than Australian PM John Howard had done following the Bryant massacre.

The comparisons to Bryant are apt, starting with a shared pattern of "mailing" that should have—but seemingly did not—put these two killers at the top of the watch list of not only local police, but also the five eyes intelligence apparatus of the UK, USA, Canada, Australia and New Zealand. Like Bryant, Tarrant was a frequent international traveller. The ignored warnings include reports to the police about threats the killers made before their crimes.

The article "Christchurch massacre: royal commission must investigate the 'how' and 'why'" (AAS, 27 Mar. 2019) listed some of these warnings, which had been reported in the media in late March, as Tarrant's case. Ardern had promised already on 25 March that the royal commission would have "a focus on whether our intelligence community was concentrating its resources appropriately, and whether there were any reports that could or should have alerted them to this attack." We wrote:

"The question the commission must answer is this: given the Five Eyes' avowed capability to collect, store and analyse communications virtually worldwide, and their purported recent focus on right-wing extremists, how is it that they missed ... Tarrant, whose suspicious movements around Europe, reported contacts with white-supremacist groups, and statements of homicidal intent on web forums should have raised all manner of red flags? Or, given ..."

© Australian Alert Service. All rights reserved. 1997-2019. www.australian-alert.com

Australian Alert Service 24 April 2019 Vol. 21 No. 17 www.australian-alert.com

[christchurch-feature.pdf](#)
(493.76 KB)
Click here to download the PDF.

What is the ‘Third Force’ fuelling US unrest?

AAS 10 June 2020

9 June—Mass demonstrations in Minneapolis, Minnesota began on 26 May, the day after an African-American man, George Floyd, was killed by a policeman arresting him for a petty crime, who knelt on his neck for nine minutes as Floyd gasped, “I can’t breathe.” Within days the protests embraced dozens of American cities. In several cities they turned violent. Images of a burning police precinct building in Minneapolis and shop windows smashed along New York City’s Fifth Avenue were

What is the 'Third Force' fuelling US unrest?

9 June—Mass demonstrations in Minneapolis, Minnesota, began on 26 May, the day after an African American man, George Floyd, was killed by a police man kneeling over him for a petty crime, who knelt on his neck for nine minutes as Floyd gasped, "I can't breathe". Within days the protests engulfed dozens of American cities, in several cases they turned violent. Images of a burning police precinct building in Minneapolis and shops windows smashed along New York City's Fifth Avenue were broadcast around the world. The issue for protesting was discussed in the AAS last week. "COVID-19 has not only exposed the dangerous failures of our healthcare and other infrastructure, but those of the everyday conditions of life for most of our citizens. What was already a shocking degree of unemployment has risen to the levels of the Great Depression or worse. In addition, the disproportionately high number of deaths of Blacks and other minorities in the pandemic has delivered them a heavy blow".

1. George Floyd's murder was the trigger. Lennon still rules heaven, AAS, 3 June 2020.

Christchurch 'Accelerationist' murderer sought 'civil war' in USA

Excerpted from "The Christchurch massacre—British imperial population control", AAS, 24 April and 1 May 2020. Key phrases are highlighted in bold face. We showed in Part 1 that in the mainstream British far-right just before beginning to shoot people at the Al Noor Mosque in Christchurch, New Zealand he, or whoever else may have drafted it, used the language of the UK's Tavistock Institute of Human Relations to proclaim the purpose of the dialogue. It was intended, said the manifesto, to precipitate the formation of "a crucible of crisis" ("blackbirds' global events") by unleashing a series of terrible events, bringing about "a renaissance and rebirth" ("blackbirds' barbarism"). To achieve "great and terrific changes" ("blackbirds' paradigm shift") in Western society and global politics as a whole, he exhorted, "we must destabilise and deconstruct society", including through "social, violent change".

Throughout the manifesto, its author or authors state that the USA where this should happen, above all, "Civil war will be the only viable path that in the United States should be a major aim in overthrowing the global power structure and the White' last egalitarian, individualist, globalist settler culture".

Pursuing the Civil War II

Talk of "outrage" and "civil war" with either of those two words leading to a police state, is a longer rare in the UK and the USA, nor are scenarios for them envisaged. In the United States, "civil war" has been raised not only in a sacred like Tarant's manifesto, but in general political discourse. The "civil war" retains great leader during the sustained Anglo-American media onslaught against Trump during his tenure. Together with Trump's own political warnings, articulated by the neoconservative "war

papers" that saw each has in foreign policy goals, Reagan has made the US political scene more polarised by the day. ... Harlan Ullman is a retired military commander who now works with the war-mongering, British government-funded Atlantic Council in Washington and has claims to being the National Defence University's author of the "Shock and Awe" doctrine of an overwhelming display of force, used in the Iraq War. Ullman... proclaims, as in this 1 April (2019) tweet, that "a second American civil war has broken out that, while completely different from 1965, could have the same goal as our system of checks and balances is decisively unbalanced". Another ex-Pentagon official, Michael Mulford, opened in a recent web TV interview that "we see low-intensity conflicts breaking out here, there, and everywhere... The elements that led up to the original Civil War in the United States are all there". ... Tarant calls, in his manifesto, for coup-like attacks and "civil war" in the USA in nothing short of a program to impose fascist-style governments in countries around the world, under the pretext of responding to a crescendo of Christchurch-style events. ... The Tarant manifesto is written partly in Q&A format. Its answer to "Why did you carry out the attack", the final major question "... to incite conflict between the two ideologies within the United States on the oversight of foreign interests to further the civil, cultural, political and racial divide within the United States. This conflict over the 2nd Amendment (the right to bear arms) will ultimately result in a civil war that will eventually take over the USA along political, cultural and racial separatist, racial lines". We left last part AAS article with supporting material at its available online: <https://www.conspiracy.org/2020/04/24/what-is-the-third-force-fuelling-us-unrest/>

Anglo-

[third-force-us.pdf](#) (177.63 KB)
Click here to download the PDF.

Anglo-American 'counterinsurgency' planners bring permanent wars back home

By an AAS team
"Counterinsurgency is threatening to ignite a tinderbox of grievances in the US. The growing parallels with Iraq, Lebanon and Somalia are real and disturbing.... If the first wave of the coronavirus tsunami was its health effect, the second—economic devastation—may be worse. But there is a third wave coming: the possibility of armed conflict towards the end of this year, when the combined health and economic impacts of the crisis will peak amid the most violently contested presidential election in America". (Emphasis added.) This warning—or, was it a threat?—is not the latest bulletin from the Christchurch mass shooter or the Boogaloo Bois armed activists, about whose agitation for "civil war" in the USA the AAS has written. Rather, it appeared in the Australian on 30 May 2020 in a column by the paper's contributing editor for military affairs, David Kilcullen, an Australian Defence Force reserve lieutenant colonel with a PhD in politics, specialisation, anthropology/ethnography who resides in the United States. For three decades, Kilcullen has been at the centre of the Anglo-American policy of perpetual war, both in theory and the implementation, which has brought nothing but disaster to the countries involved. Now, the military-strategy apparatus responsible for so much death and misery in the countries Kilcullen named, and many others, is preparing to apply its formulae back home. Kilcullen's expertise is "counterinsurgency", in the form and often developed in the "War on Terror" period after 9/11 and based in Iraq and Afghanistan, where Kilcullen deployed. The techniques he practiced there are emerging as preferred methods for governments to try down political opposition and mass unrest during a worsening economic crisis. Kilcullen is both an offspring and an operative of the Anglo-American war party, which encompasses both the American "neoconservatives" who engineered and led the illegal invasion of Iraq in 2003 and "liberal interventionists" in the form Blair revealed, when we first met. Then the war party cuts across political party lines. Kilcullen, having been succeeded in the US Government as an advisor, was active under both the George W. Bush and the Barack Obama Administrations (2003-09, 2009-17). The source of which Kilcullen has been a part are a military-strategy arm of the Anglo-American financial Empire, centred in the City of London and Wall Street, whose leaders imagine their power to be permanent. When their system is shaky, as it has been off and on for most of the last 100 years, they turn to the military's job in the international arena to crush potential leaders of an alternative system, one that would put the interests of nations and their populations above those of the financiers. This motive underlies the doctrine of leading on fire in the Anglo-American establishment, ever since what should have been the end of the Cold War in 1989-91. In the 1992 "Wolfowitz Doctrine", Formulated by then-Under Secretary of Defence for Policy



Australian counterinsurgency expert David Kilcullen (left) in Iraq in 2007 in the city of An Najaf in central Iraq. (David Pentzner, contributor of warfiles.com.au) (in this piece: Wikimedia Commons)

Paul Wolfowitz, who held that position in the George W. Bush Administration (1989-91), it said that no country outside the USA with its NATO allies should ever be allowed to achieve the degree of power formerly possessed by the Soviet Union, which had just disintegrated. The Wolfowitz Doctrine's one-empire model also has the aim to bring regime change over the world, when using the War on Terror as a pretext, and for creating up hostile postures against Russia and China. The doctrine, codified by the Wolfowitz Doctrine is a device to suppress any response to the financial oligarchy and the liberal judiciary in response against the population's living standards in favour of hoarding, speculation, risk-taking and banks. Measures range from mass surveillance of people's communications and movements, up to police-state rule and prosecutions to justify it.

From 'Counterinsurgency' to 'Counterterrorism'

A graduate of the Royal Military College, Duntroon, David Kilcullen served in almost every theatre of the War on Terror for 21 years on active duty in the Australian Defence Force in a number of peacekeeping operations in East Timor, Bougainville and the Middle East, as well as a posting to India's general staff in the 1990s. With his PhD dissertation in politics completed in 2000 on the Indonesian genocide wartime experience, Kilcullen gained the reputation of an expert in irregular warfare, and in 2004, as a senior analyst at the Australian Office of National Assessments, he co-edited the Australian Government's 2004 Intervention White Paper. His writings, according to a profile in the New Yorker, brought Kilcullen to the attention of Paul Wolfowitz in 2004, who was back at the Pentagon as Deputy Secretary of

American 'counterinsurgency' planners bring permanent wars back home

AAS 24 June 2020

"Coronavirus is threatening to ignite a tinderbox of grievances in the US. The growing parallels with Iraq, Lebanon and Somalia are real and disturbing.... If the first wave of the coronavirus tsunami was its health effect, the second— economic devastation—may be worse. But there is a third wave coming: the possibility of armed conflict towards the end of this year, when the combined health and economic impacts of the crisis will peak amid the most violently contested presidential election in memory." (Emphasis added.)

This warning—or, was it a threat?—is not the latest bulletin from the Christchurch mass shooter or the Boogaloo Bois armed activists, about whose agitation for "civil war" in the USA the AAS has written. Rather, it appeared in the Australian on 30 May 2020 in a column by the paper's contributing editor for military affairs, David Kilcullen, an Australian Defence Force reserve lieutenant colonel with a PhD in politics (specialisation: anthropology/ethnography) who resides in the United States. For three decades, Kilcullen has been at the centre of the Anglo-American policy of perpetual war, both its theory and the implementation, which has brought nothing but disaster to the countries involved. ...

[counterinsurgency.pdf](#) (281.01 KB)
Click here to download the PDF.

"Global Britain"—the UK imperial faction's bid to keep worldwide dominance

A dossier of AAS articles:

- 1 Feb. 2017 "Britain to enforce imperial order in face of new era"
- 22 Feb. 2017 "UK plans new imperial trade bloc"
- 5 Jul. 2017 "City scrambles to maintain European supremacy postBrexit"
- 30 Aug. 2017 "A new era of British maritime power"
- 25 Oct. 2017 "Britain's pivot to Asia is maritime empire Mark II"
- 15 Nov. 2017 "Anglo-Americans revive Quadrilateral Dialogue to set Trump against China"
- 21 Mar. 2018 "Britain's new mission: global enforcer of 'democracy'"

A new era of British maritime power

By Blair Rowland

The UK is planning a greater military presence in Asia and the South China Sea using its new aircraft carrier, in addition to British military alliances, with the US and Australia in particular.

When Britain's new Royal Navy aircraft carrier, HMS Queen Elizabeth—the largest assault carrier outside the US military—set sail in late June, first sea lord and Chief of Naval Staff, Admiral Sir Philip Jones, declared: "The will symbolise our military power and authority in the world for decades to come... be in no doubt: a new era of British maritime power is about to begin."

Defence Secretary Sir Michael Fallon stated: "This is a historic moment for the UK as our new aircraft carrier takes to sea for the very first time. This floating fortress is by far the most powerful ship ever built in Britain that will enable us to tackle multiple and changing threats across the globe... For the next fifty years she will deploy around the world, demonstrating British power and our commitment to confronting the emerging challenges from a dangerous world."

When HMS Queen Elizabeth entered the Portsmouth Naval Base on 10 August, Admiral Jones explained that the Royal Navy is undergoing a "career refresh", a "strength of national strategic ambition".

"Distilling better symbolises our nation's continued global role than the Queen Elizabeth-class carrier", he said. Putting the shift in the context of the UK's exit from the European Union, the Admiral said for new capabilities would create a "highly visible UK presence on the global stage".

"Working with the Army and Royal Air Force, the Queen Elizabeth class will project power and influence not just at sea, but in the air, over the land and in cyberspace. They will support all areas of Government to promote the UK's authority in the world."

It was Jones who reconstituted the Royal Navy to defending Britain's global ambitions and to protect its global trade interests, in an October 2016 speech in Washington following the Brexit vote. In an earlier London speech, Jones said that the Navy, "at the height of Empire and beyond... has always been the guardian of our nation's" in the

method behind Trump's apparent madness

From year 1917, as he flips back and forth between his Presidential duties and campaign activities, but whether he can develop and get Congress to pass the legislation needed to fulfill his promises to the American people.

A real state would be to force AK-Cornwall and Ryan to pass legislation, already introduced in both Houses of Congress, to reinstate the 1913 Glass-Steagall Act, which broke up the Depression-era financial banks into separate commercial banks and investment institutions. Such a bold move would do more to advance his agenda than anything else. It would split the Democratic Party, which now remains united against him. Senators Blaine-Sanders (Maine) and Elizabeth Warren (Massachusetts) would praise Trump for fulfilling his promise to break the grip of Wall Street over Washington—and rightly so.



HMS Queen Elizabeth-class carrier, ready to use its global military power to secure its financial and economic interests.

Moving in on Asia

The Express reported on 10 August that as part of its post-Brexit message to the world, Britain will expand its military operations worldwide. The UK contributed personnel to the UK-South Korea military exercises which began on 21 August. The South China Sea will be another focus.

Treasury Secretary of the Royal United Services Institute (RUSI), Britain's premier military think tank, told the Express: "The Ministry of Defence has expressed an interest in being involved in Asia and the South China Sea." He continued, "One consequence of Brexit, perhaps, is it's made an issue associated in relation with other countries, which might include Australia. One way of making yourself more relevant to Australia and even Japan is to commit some forces to operate in that area."

In response to a July 40, 50 report on new military capabilities held by Russia and China, a coalition Taylor commented: "The advancing capabilities of potential adversaries in Northern Europe, the Middle East and even East Asia needs to be taken into account in reviews of UK defence policy and military tasks. British and NATO approaches to deterrence strategy, and the priorities for UK capability development."

At the end of July, Secretary Fallon announced at least two of Britain's new warships would be sent to the Asian region in 2018. In Australia last month, Foreign Secretary Boris Johnson welcomed the carriers would be used to test so-called freedom of navigation rights in the area. "To articulate our belief in the rules-based international system".

British press also report that the UK government has pledged to help the USA in any confrontation with North Korea, by sending nuclear submarines and aircraft without striking ranges of Pyongyang. The Sunday Daily Star of 20 August reports that plans for a special force attack on the presidential palace in the British world's troops have been drawn up. A source told the paper, that "Britain has plenty of assets it can offer to the US without getting directly involved in the fight."

Former Chief of the General Staff of the British Army, Lord Richard Dannatt, who called the long war a "strategic error of near biblical proportions" and an example of the decline of Britain's military presence, has called for an increase in defence spending by a quarter to a half of 1 per cent of GDP which he claimed "would send a very strong message... that the UK is a strong and engaged player on the world stage" and will not withdraw from collective security responsibilities post-Brexit.

- 18 Apr. 2018 "British elite scheme for war, but must contend with Corbyn"
- 25 Apr. 2018 "Turnbull backs May's imperial drive for global security and trade dominance"
- 11 Jul. 2018 "The City of London's China pivot"
- 18 Jul. 2018 "Tony Blair rallies to defend globalisation"
- 15 Aug. 2018 "Is imperial strategy driving HSBC's move to Paris?"

[globbrit1-AAS20172018.pdf](#)
(949.45 KB)

[Click here to download the PDF.](#)

Additional updates:

- ['Global Britain': an attempt to retain power as global balance is disrupted](#), AAS, 16 Jan. 2019.
- ['Global Britain's' Empire II plan to surround China](#), AAS, 9 Dec. 2020
- [UK-led Black Sea provocations: 'Global Britain' in action](#), AAS, 28 July 2021

Intelligence agencies and terrorism, war

- ["The Lapstone set-up: how MI5 tricked Ben Chifley into creating ASIO"](#), Australian Alert Service, 23 September 2015
- ["How MI5 created ASIO"](#), Australian Alert Service, 2 August 2017
- [British Intelligence reactivates Empire's tools for worldwide dirty tricks](#), Australian Alert Service, 30 January 2019
- ["Anglo-Americans reap the terror whirlwind"](#), Australian Alert Service, 8 May 2019
- ["MI6's global reach: The story of former British spy Richard Tomlinson"](#), Australian Alert Service, 26 June 2019
- ["Australia must break from Anglo-American war machine"](#), Australian Alert Service, 11 September 2019
- ["Don't leave Australia's economic future up to spies!"](#), Australian Alert Service, 24 June 2020
- ["Drone whistleblower Daniel Hale imprisoned"](#), Australian Alert Service, 11 August 2021
- [Scott Ritter: this is 'how global terrorism is born'](#), Australian Alert Service, 23 March 2022

[Return to Australian Alert Service - feature articles](#)